

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addease COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1430 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.webjo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/514,427	11/16/2004	Sui Xiong Cai	1735.0770001/RWE/CJW	4080
26111 7590 03/17/2008 STERNE, KESSLER, GOLDSTEIN & FOX P.L.L.C.			EXAMINER	
1100 NEW YORK AVENUE, N.W. WASHINGTON, DC 20005		O'DELL, DAVID K		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1625	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/17/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.		Applicant(s)		
	10/514,427	CAI ET AL.		
Examiner		Art Unit		
	David K. O'Dell	1625		

--The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

THE REPLY FILED <u>05 February 2008</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.
1. Ne reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandomment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 4.1.31: or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:
a) X The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection.
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1,136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1,136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1,17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filled, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1,704(b)
NOTICE OF APPEAL
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on

3. 🛭	The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because
	(a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);
	(b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);
	(c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for
	appeal; and/or
	(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.
	NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).
	☐ The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).
5.	Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): See Continuation Sheet.
6.	Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the
	non-allowable claim(s).
7.	For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered, or b) will be entered and an explanation of
	how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.
	The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:
	Claim(s) allowed:
	Claim(s) objected to:
	Claim(s) rejected:
	Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:
<u>AFF</u>	FIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE
8. [The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will <u>not</u> be entered
	because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).
۰ ٦	The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be
9. L	If the aindavit of other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appear, but prior to the date of filling a one), will <u>not</u> be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).

11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:

12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s). _____

13. Other: ____.

10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached.

/David K. O'Dell/ Examiner, Art Unit 1625...... /Rita Desai/

REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER

AMENDMENTS

Continuation of 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): The applicant's have successfully overcome the 103 (a) rejection by a showing of unexpected results. The scope of the claims has also been amended to substantially obviate the enablement rejection, however the entirety of the outstanding double patenting rejections have not been overcome. The rejection over '426 application and the '499 applications are withdrawn, however the '586 application is still loosextensive in scope, based upon the definition of the Z group (although the applicant has attempted to argue that Z is limited to hydrogen this is clearly not the case, see claims 57-61 for the definition of Z in the '586 application).